

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/601,036	06/19/2003	Kenneth W. Wood	020552-003330US	8459
20350	7590 09/27/2005		EXAMINER	
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER			JOYCE, CA	THERINE
EIGHTH FL			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN FRANC	CISCO, CA 94111-38	34	1642	

DATE MAILED: 09/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/601,036	WOOD ET AL.	b		
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Catherine M. Joyce	1642			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Ju This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. noe except for formal matters, pro				
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) <u>2 and 60-77</u> is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>2 and 60-77</u> are subject to restriction	wn from consideration.				
Applicati	on Papers		•			
9) 10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
2) Notice 3) Information	et(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) tr No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 1642

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1 and 60-77 are pending.

Election/Restrictions

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claim 1, as drawn to a method of screening drug candidates, classified in class 435, subclass 4.
 - II. Claims 60-68, 74-77 as drawn to a method of assessing an individual's risk for a hyper-proliferative disorder by determining the level of KSP expression by determining the amount of nucleic acid encoding KSP, classified in class 435, subclass 6.
 - II. Claims 60-63, 69-76 as drawn to a method of assessing an individual's risk for a hyper-proliferative disorder by determining the level of KSP expression by determining the amount of KSP protein, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1.

Claim 60 link(s) inventions II and III. The restriction requirement among/between the linked inventions is subject to the nonallowance of the linking claim 1. Upon the allowance of the linking claim(s), the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions shall be withdrawn and any claim(s) depending from or otherwise including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) will be entitled to examination in the instant application. Applicant(s) are advised that if any such claim(s) depending from or including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) is/are presented in a continuation or divisional application, the claims of the continuation or divisional application may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is

Page 2

Art Unit: 1642

withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. In re Ziegler, 44 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

3. The inventions are distinct, each from the other, because of the following reasons:

The inventions of groups I, II, and III are materially distinct methods that differ at least in objectives, method steps and reagents. For example, Group I is drawn to a method of screening drug candidates, Group II is drawn to a method of assessing risk for hyper-proliferative disorder by measuring nucleic acid levels, and Group III is drawn to a method of assessing risk for hyper-proliferative disorder by measuring protein levels. Furthermore, each of the groups employs chemically distinct reagents and processes to accomplish the differing objectives. Searching all of the groups with all of the different regagents and processes would pose an undue search burden.

- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and/or recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Group II is further subject to election of a single disclosed species.

Claim 64 is generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising methods of assessing an individual's risk for a hyper-proliferative disorder comprising determining the amount of nucleic acid encoding KSP. The species are as follows: (a) wherein the amount of nucleic acid is determined by the extent of binding to probes of a nucleic acid probe array (claim 65); and (b) wherein the amount of nucleic acid is determined by in-situ hybridization (claim 66).

6. Group III is further subject to election of a single disclosed species.

Claim 69 is generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising methods of assessing an individual's risk for a hyper-proliferative disorder

Art Unit: 1642

comprising determining the amount of KSP protein. The species are as follows: (a) wherein the amount of KSP protein is determined by mass spectroscopy (claim 70); (b) wherein the amount of KSP protein is determined by an immunological method (claims 71 and 72); (c) wherein the amount of KSP protein is determined by two-dimensional gel electrophoresis (claim 73). The methods are patentably distinct because they are directed to the use of structurally distinct interacting components with different mechanisms of action.

Page 4

- 7. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP, 809.02(a).
- 8. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103 of the other invention.
- 9. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103, the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 C.F.R. 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103.

Art Unit: 1642

10. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

- 11. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed.
- 12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Catherine M. Joyce whose telephone number is 571-272-3321. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday, 10:15 - 6:45.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Siew can be reached on 571-272-0787. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8700.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Catherine M. Joyce

Examiner Art Unit 1642

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Art Unit: 1642

Page 6